

**OHIO BOARD OF TAX APPEALS**

Beaumont D B Sr,	)	CASE NO. 2008-T-2098
	)	
Appellant,	)	(REAL PROPERTY TAX)
	)	
vs.	)	ORDER
	)	
Cuyahoga County Board of Revision,	)	(Extending Discovery Deadline)
Cuyahoga County Auditor, and	)	
Warrensville Heights Board of Education,	)	
	)	
Appellees.	)	

---

Newman, Jeanne B & Rosenman,	)	CASE NO. 2008-T-2099
Elizabeth Mann,	)	
	)	(REAL PROPERTY TAX)
Appellants,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
Cuyahoga County Board of Revision,	)	
Cuyahoga County Auditor, and	)	
Warrensville Heights Board of Education,	)	
	)	
Appellees.	)	

---

Mann & Assoc Inc,	)	CASE NO. 2008-T-2100
	)	
Appellant,	)	(REAL PROPERTY TAX)
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
Cuyahoga County Board of Revision,	)	
Cuyahoga County Auditor, and	)	
Warrensville Heights Board of Education,	)	
	)	
Appellees.	)	

Newman, Jeanne B & Rosenman,	)	CASE NO. 2008-T-2129
Elizabeth Mann,	)	
	)	(REAL PROPERTY TAX)
Appellants,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
Cuyahoga County Board of Revision,	)	
Cuyahoga County Auditor, and	)	
Warrensville Heights Board of Education,	)	
	)	
Appellees.	)	

APPEARANCES:

For the Appellants - Taft, Stettinius & Hollister L.L.P.  
Stephen H. Jett  
200 Public Square, Suite 3500  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2302

For the County Appellees - William D. Mason  
Cuyahoga County Prosecuting Attorney  
Timothy J. Kollin  
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney  
Courts Tower, 8th Floor  
1200 Ontario Street  
Cleveland, Ohio 44113

For the Appellee Board of Education - Kolick & Kondzer  
John P. Desimone  
24500 Center Ridge Road, Suite 175  
Westlake, Ohio 44145-5697

Entered March 3, 2009

This matter is currently before the Board of Tax Appeals as a result of a joint motion by the appellants and the Warrensville Heights Board of Education to extend the discovery period for 75 days beyond the one-hundred and twenty day time frame.

The joint motion provides, in pertinent part:

“While the Parties are actively engaged in the discovery process, the 120 day discovery cut-off rapidly approaches. In order to protect the interests of all Parties involved, the Parties jointly

request that the 120 day discovery deadline of February 27, 2009, pursuant to BTA Rule 5717-1-11(A)(1), be extended an additional 75 days. An extension of the Discovery deadline benefits all the parties involved and prejudices on. Accordingly, the Parties hereby respectfully request the BTA to order that the discovery period in this case be extended by 75 days, up to and including May 13, 2009.”

Ohio Adm. Code 5717-1-11(A)(1) provides that “[u]pon motion and *for good cause*, the board may establish other specific times for completion of discovery or consideration of discovery motions.” (Emphasis added.) Considering that the parties jointly request the extension of time and considering the earlier change in counsel, this board finds that sufficient good cause has been demonstrated to extend the discovery deadline. Accordingly, the discovery period shall be extended until May, 13, 2009.

ohiosearchkeybta